www.nature.com/mp

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Sociodemographic and psychopathologic predictors of first incidence of DSM-IV substance use, mood and anxiety disorders: results from the Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions

BF Grant¹, RB Goldstein¹, SP Chou¹, B Huang¹, FS Stinson¹, DA Dawson¹, TD Saha¹, SM Smith¹, AJ Pulay¹, RP Pickering¹, WJ Ruan¹ and WM Compton²

¹Laboratory of Epidemiology and Biometry, Division of Intramural Clinical and Biological Research, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA and ²Division of Epidemiology, Services, and Prevention Research, National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

The objective of this study was to present nationally representative findings on sociodemographic and psychopathologic predictors of first incidence of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edn (DSM-IV) substance, mood and anxiety disorders using the Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. One-year incidence rates of DSM-IV substance, mood and anxiety disorders were highest for alcohol abuse (1.02), alcohol dependence (1.70), major depressive disorder (MDD; 1.51) and generalized anxiety disorder (GAD; 1.12). Incidence rates were significantly greater (P<0.01) among men for substance use disorders and greater among women for mood and anxiety disorders except bipolar disorders and social phobia. Age was inversely related to all disorders. Black individuals were at decreased risk of incident alcohol abuse and Hispanic individuals were at decreased risk of GAD. Anxiety disorders at baseline more often predicted incidence of other anxiety disorders than mood disorders. Reciprocal temporal relationships were found between alcohol abuse and dependence, MDD and GAD, and GAD and panic disorder. Borderline and schizotypal personality disorders predicted most incident disorders. Incidence rates of substance, mood and anxiety disorders were comparable to or greater than rates of lung cancer, stroke and cardiovascular disease. The greater incidence of all disorders in the youngest cohort underscores the need for increased vigilance in identifying and treating these disorders among young adults. Strong common factors and unique factors appear to underlie associations between alcohol abuse and dependence, MDD and GAD, and GAD and panic disorder. The major results of this study are discussed with regard to prevention and treatment implications.

Molecular Psychiatry (2009) 14, 1051-1066; doi:10.1038/mp.2008.41; published online 22 April 2008

Keywords: incidence; epidemiology; prospective study; substance use disorders; mood disorders; anxiety disorders

Introduction

Since World War II, numerous psychiatric epidemiology surveys have been conducted worldwide. Most have been cross-sectional, yielding rich data on prevalence and correlates of major psychiatric disorders. Conversely, prospective surveys that yield first incidence rates are less common. The dearth of psychiatric incidence surveys can be largely attri-

E-mail: bgrant@willco.niaaa.nih.gov

Received 16 January 2008; revised 21 February 2008; accepted 18 March 2008; published online 22 April 2008

buted to low incidence rates that require very largescale prospective investigations to provide sufficient cases for analysis. Such studies are more resource intensive and complex than cross-sectional studies.

Most psychiatric incidence studies have focused on depressive disorders. Two of the best-known prospective studies were the Lundby Study in Sweden^{1,2} and the Stirling County Study in Canada.^{3,4} These two studies had follow-up periods of 18 and 25 years (between 1947 and 1997) and yielded 1-year incidence of depression, calculated as rates per 100 person-years (py) at risk, between 0.24 and 0.45. These early studies were groundbreaking, but they were based on diagnostic classifications that approximated, but did not assess DSM diagnoses, and were conducted many years ago. A more recent long-term prospective survey⁵ was conducted between 1981 and npg

Correspondence: Dr BF Grant, Laboratory of Epidemiology and Biometry, Division of Intramural Clinical and Biological Research, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, National Institutes of Health, MS 9304, Room 3077, 5635 Fishers Lane, Bethesda, MD 20892-9304, USA.

1993 at the Baltimore site of the Epidemiologic Catchment Area (ECA) Study.⁶ This study, which used *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 3rd edn⁷ (DSM-III) diagnoses at baseline and *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 3rd edn, Revised, (DSM-III-R)⁸ diagnoses at follow-up, found 1-year incidence of major depression of 0.30. To place these rates in perspective, annual incidence rates are 0.06 for lung cancer,⁹ 5.0 for hypertension, 0.45 for stroke and 1.5 for cardiovascular disease.¹⁰

Since the early 1980s, four prospective surveys of major depression, with 1- to 3-year follow-ups, were also conducted.¹¹⁻¹⁵ These showed 1-year incidence of DSM-III major depression of 1.59 in the ECA¹⁰ and 2.79 in Edmonton, Canada.¹² One-year incidence of DSM-III-R major depression was 2.72 in the Netherlands:^{13,14} and of International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision (ICD-10)¹⁶ major depression, 2.05 in the Finnish ODIN Survey.¹⁵ Thus, the incidence of major depression was substantially higher in the more recent studies than in the Stirling County and Lundby studies. Although it is unknown whether the greater rates in the more recent, shorter term studies are due to methodologic or substantive factors, the data are consistent with the many crosssectional studies^{17,18} indicating higher prevalences of depression in more recent birth cohorts.

Incidence of anxiety disorders has rarely been studied. The incidence of panic disorder was 0.56 in the ECA,¹¹ 0.12 for men and 1.02 for women in Edmonton,¹² 0.78 in the Netherlands¹³ and 0.24 in the Baltimore follow-up.^{19,20} The 1-year incidence of social phobia was 0.94 in the ECA,²¹ 0.45 in the Baltimore Follow-Up Study,²² and 0.93 in the Netherlands.¹³ Only the Netherlands survey reported incidence of DSM-III-R specific phobia (2.20) and generalized anxiety disorder (GAD; 0.72).¹³

Incidence studies of substance use disorders are rare. In the ECA, 1-year incidence of alcohol abuse/ dependence was 1.79 and that of drug abuse/dependence, 1.09.¹¹ Corresponding rates were 4.48 and 1.27 among men, and 1.36 and 0.82 among women, in Edmonton.¹² Incidence was reported separately for alcohol dependence (0.46) in the Baltimore Follow-Up Study.²³ A study in Taiwan found 1-year incidence of alcohol abuse/dependence ranging from 2.8 to 4.8 among four aboriginal groups.²⁴ Figures for alcohol abuse (2.38), alcohol dependence (0.49), drug abuse (0.28) and drug dependence (0.27) were derived from the Netherlands survey.^{13,14} This great variation in rates could have reflected differences in sample designs and measures, causal factors including availability and social norms regarding substance use and variation in genetic vulnerability to substance use disorders.

Although prior longitudinal surveys contributed important information on the incidence of psychiatric disorders in the general population, their small samples, differences in assessment instruments and sampling techniques, varying decades of baseline assessment and varying lengths of follow-up preclude clear conclusions on the current incidence of mental disorders in the United States. In addition, previous studies provided little information beyond sex and age as sociodemographic risk factors for first-incident disorders. Finally, these studies provided limited information on the role of comorbidity in the etiology of mental disorders. The risk posed by existing disorders for onsets of new disorders has been among the most debated issues in psychiatry. As is widely acknowledged, cross-sectional studies cannot address such issues; a very large-scale prospective study with DSM-IV diagnoses is needed. Until now, such a study has been lacking.

Accordingly, the current study is based on the 3-year prospective follow-up $(n = 34653)^{25}$ of the 2001–2002 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC; n = 43093).^{26,27} The goals of this study were to (1) estimate, for the first time in a national study, the annual (1-year) first incidence of specific major DSM-IV²⁵ substance use, mood and anxiety disorders in a sample large enough to produce stable estimates, (2) provide information on an expanded range of sociodemographic risk factors and (3) provide estimates of the risks posed by specific Axis I and Axis II psychiatric disorders for subsequent onsets of comorbid disorders. Information on risk factors for first-onset specific psychiatric disorders can inform the development of evidencebased prevention and education programs targeting sociodemographic and psychopathologic precursors. Knowledge of psychopathologic risk factors can also guide etiologic investigations of common and unique genetic and environmental influences underlying comorbidity, and provide more etiologically derived phenotypes for genetic research.

Methods

Sample

The 2004–2005 Wave 2 NESARC²⁵ is the second wave of the NESARC. Wave 1 of the NESARC was conducted in 2001–2002 and is described in detail elsewhere.^{26,27} The Wave 1 NESARC surveyed a representative sample of the adult population of the United States, oversampling black people, Hispanic people and young adults aged 18–24 years. The target population was the civilian population, 18 years and older, residing in households and group quarters. Face-to-face interviews were conducted with 43 093 respondents, yielding an overall response rate of 81.0%.

The Wave 2 NESARC design involved face-to-face reinterviews with all participants in the Wave 1 interview. Excluding respondents ineligible for the Wave 2 interview because they were deceased (n=1403), deported, mentally or physically impaired (n=781) or on active duty in the armed forces throughout the follow-up period (n=950), the Wave 2 response rate was 86.7%, reflecting 34 653 completed interviews. The cumulative response rate at Wave 2 was the

Substance use, mood and anxiety disorders BF Grant et al

product of the Wave 2 and Wave 1 response rates, or 70.2%. The mean interval between Wave 1 and Wave 2 interviews was 36.6 (s.e. = 2.62) months. Wave 2 NESARC data were weighted to reflect design characteristics of the NESARC and account for oversampling. Adjustment for nonresponse across sociodemographic characteristics and the presence of any lifetime Wave 1 NESARC substance use disorder or other psychiatric disorder was performed at the household and person levels.²⁵ Weighted data were then adjusted to be representative of the civilian population of the United States on socioeconomic variables based on the 2000 decennial census.

The Wave 2 NESARC weights include a component that adjusts for nonresponse, for sociodemographic factors and for psychiatric diagnoses, to ensure that the sample approximates the target population, that is, the original sample minus attrition between the two waves due to death, institutionalization/ incapacitation, deportation/permanently leaving the US and being in the military for the full length of the Wave 2 interviewing period. In order to test whether this nonresponse adjustment was successful, we compared Wave 2 respondents with the target population (comprising Wave 2 respondents and eligible nonrespondents) in terms of a number of baseline (Wave 1) sociodemographic and diagnostic measures. The resulting comparison indicated that there were no significant differences between the Wave 2 respondents and the target population on age, race-ethnicity, sex, socioeconomic status or the presence of any lifetime substance, mood, anxiety or personality disorder (PD; each examined separately).

Psychiatric disorders

The diagnostic interview was the Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-DSM-IV Version (AUDADIS-IV²⁹), Wave 2 version.³⁰ This structured interview was designed for experienced lay interviewers. Axis I disorders were assessed identically in the Wave 1 and Wave 2 versions of the AUDADIS-IV except for the time frames. In Wave 1, these time frames were (1) the year preceding the interview and (2) the past, including all but the year preceding the interview. In Wave 2, the time frames were (1) the year preceding the Wave 2 interview and (2) the intervening period of about 2 years between the Wave 1 interview and the year preceding the Wave 2 interview. Thus, in the Wave 2 interview, the entire time between Waves 1 and 2 was covered for each respondent.

Extensive AUDADIS-IV questions covered DSM-IV criteria for alcohol and drug-specific abuse and dependence for 10 classes of substances. Consistent with Wave 1 diagnoses, a 12-month DSM-IV abuse diagnosis required one or more of four abuse criteria, whereas a DSM-IV dependence diagnosis required three or more of seven dependence criteria, to be met in the year preceding the Wave 2 interview. For the intervening period, criteria for abuse or dependence must have been met within 1 year. Drug-specific abuse and dependence were aggregated to yield diagnoses of any drug abuse and any drug dependence. Although DSM-IV diagnoses of abuse are preempted hierarchically by diagnoses of dependence, prospective studies^{31,32} have shown that individuals with histories of dependence can develop abuse without dependence, and vice versa. Therefore, the hierarchical relationship between alcohol and drug abuse and dependence was not invoked in estimation of incidence for these disorders.

The good to excellent ($\kappa = 0.70-0.91$) test-retest reliability of AUDADIS-IV substance use disorder diagnoses is documented in clinical and general population samples.^{33–38} Convergent, discriminant and construct validity of AUDADIS-IV substance use disorder criteria and diagnoses were good to excellent,^{39–43} including in the World Health Organization/ National Institutes of Health International Study on Reliability and Validity,^{44–49} where clinical reappraisals documented good validity of DSM-IV alcohol and drug use disorder diagnoses ($\kappa = 0.54-0.76$).^{33,44}

In Waves 1 and 2, mood disorders included DSM-IV primary major depressive disorder (MDD), dysthymia, and bipolar I and bipolar II disorders. Anxiety disorders included DSM-IV primary panic disorder (with and without agoraphobia), social and specific phobias and GAD. AUDADIS-IV methods to diagnose these disorders are described in detail elsewhere.^{17,22,50–54} Consistent with DSM-IV, 'primary' AUDADIS-IV diagnoses excluded disorders that were substance-induced or due to general medical conditions. Diagnoses of MDD ruled out bereavement.

Past year and prior-to-past year diagnoses of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) were assessed in the Wave 2 NESARC. Because ADHD and PTSD were not assessed in both waves of the NESARC, incidence and risk estimates are not presented for them. Nevertheless, diagnoses of these disorders prior to the year preceding the Wave 2 interview were included as predictors in multiple logistic analyses described below.

PDs assessed on a lifetime basis at Wave 1 and described in detail elsewhere^{55–57} included avoidant, dependent, obsessive-compulsive, paranoid, schizoid, histrionic and antisocial PDs. Borderline, schizotypal and narcissistic PDs were measured at Wave 2. Lifetime measures of each PD were only included as predictors in the multiple logistic analyses of risk of 1-year incidence of each substance use, mood and anxiety disorder.

Test–retest reliabilities for AUDADIS-IV mood, anxiety, PD and ADHD diagnoses in the general population and clinical settings were fair to good ($\kappa = 0.40-0.77$).^{33,35,38} Test–retest reliabilities of AUDADIS-IV PDs compare favorably with those obtained in patient samples using semistructured personality interviews.⁵⁸ Convergent validity was good to excellent for all affective, anxiety and PD diagnoses,^{17,50–57} and selected diagnoses showed good agreement ($\kappa = 0.64-0.68$) with psychiatrist reappraisals.³³

Incidence

Incidence rates were calculated in two ways. Using the first method, ^{59,60} the numerator was the number of new cases (*I*= individuals who had a specific disorder for the first time in their lives) during the year preceding the Wave 2 interview. The denominator for each disorder comprised the total number of individuals with no prior history of that disorder at the start of the year (*T*= the population at risk). This incidence rate was expressed as a percentage: (*I*/*T*) × 100.

The second method, using person-years, reflects the understanding that the optimal denominator of an incidence rate is the population's total period of exposure, usually expressed as py at risk. In this method,^{61–63} a person is no longer at risk for becoming a case after developing the disorder during the specified year, and therefore should no longer be included in the denominator. The assumption is usually $\mathsf{made}^{\mathsf{11-14,21-23}}$ that the average point when a new case emerges lies halfway through the year. Accordingly, we calculated py at risk among incident cases as one-half the time elapsed during the year preceding the Wave 2 interview. The exposure period for nonincident cases in the group at risk was estimated by letting each individual represent exactly one year of exposure. This rate was expressed as incidence per 100 py at risk, $I/(T-0.5(I)) \times 100$.

Statistical analyses

Weighted 1-year incidence rates expressed as percentages of the groups at risk and per 100 py at risk are presented. Multiple logistic regression analyses examined the relative risk of first incidence of each psychiatric disorder predicted by sociodemographic characteristics. All sociodemographic variables were entered simultaneously into a single model for each disorder. Although multiple logistic regressions yield adjusted odds ratios, it has been shown that, when the incidence of a disorder is <10%. as are all incidence rates reported herein, the adjusted odds ratio closely approximates the adjusted relative risk and no correction to improve the approximation is necessary.64 Thus, the adjusted odds ratios derived from multiple logistic analyses are referred to hereinafter as adjusted relative risks.

To address issues related to comorbidity, adjusted relative risks were estimated, using multiple logistic regression, for each 1-year incident disorder at Wave 2 associated with other disorders present at 'baseline.' Baseline diagnoses were defined as Axis I and II disorders occurring prior to the year preceding the Wave 2 interview and included Wave 1 lifetime disorders plus disorders occurring during the 2-year period since Wave 1 but before the incident year preceding the Wave 2 interview. These analyses were conducted in two ways. The first controlled for sociodemographic characteristics. The second further controlled for all other comorbid baseline disorders. This analysis addresses the fact that control only for sociodemographic characteristics yields no information on the unique relationships of other disorders that themselves have considerable comorbidity. All s.e. and 99% confidence intervals were adjusted for the design effects of the Wave 2 NESARC sample.

Results

Incidence

The 1-year incidence rates were very similar to the 1-year incidence rates per 100 py, reflecting the small numbers of incident cases (Table 1), and are reported hereinafter as rates per 100 py at risk. Incidence was highest for alcohol dependence (1.70), alcohol abuse (1.02), MDD (1.51) and GAD (1.12); and lower for other disorders, ranging from 0.21 for bipolar II to 0.62 for panic disorder.

Sociodemographic predictors

Respondents with incident alcohol abuse and dependence were more likely to be younger, male, never married or separated/divorced/widowed (Table 2); the risk of incident alcohol abuse was lower among black people. The risk of incident drug dependence was greater for men. Respondents in the youngest age group and those who were separated/divorced/ widowed were also more likely to have incident drug abuse and dependence.

Risk of incident MDD was greater among women, but no sex differences were observed for bipolar I or II disorders (Table 3). The risk for each incident mood disorder was also greater in the two youngest age groups. Further, risk of MDD was greater among respondents with the lowest incomes and those who were separated/divorced/widowed, whereas the risk of bipolar I was greater among those with less than a high school education.

Women were at increased risk of all incident anxiety disorders except social phobia (Table 4). Risks of incident panic disorder and social phobia were greater among respondents of 20–54 years of age, whereas increased risks of specific phobia and GAD were only observed among 30- to 54-year-old people. Except for specific phobia, the risks of incident anxiety disorders were increased among respondents with incomes \leq \$19 999 per year. The risk of incident GAD was also greater among respondents who were separated/divorced/widowed and lower among Hispanic people.

Psychopathologic predictors

Associations of incident DSM-IV disorders with specific baseline disorders, controlling for sociodemographic characteristics and psychiatric comorbidity, are outlined in Tables 5–7. Many adjusted odds ratios were reduced or no longer significant when other baseline comorbidity was controlled. Lifetime alcohol abuse at baseline remained a strong predictor of incident alcohol dependence and *vice versa*. Baseline drug abuse also remained a strong predictor of incident alcohol abuse and drug dependence.

Baseline bipolar I disorder remained a significant predictor of incident drug abuse and baseline panic

Disorder	Unweighted number of respondents at risk	Unweighted number of 12-month incident cases	Weighted first incidence rate % (s.e.)	Unweighted person-years exposure	Weighted person-years exposure	Weighted annual incidence per 100 person years
Alcohol use disorder ^a	23713	363	1.65 (0.10)	23 531.50	13 72 08 646.83	$1.66 (0.11)^{\rm b}$
Alcohol abuse	24291	233	1.02 (0.10)	24174.50	14 10 22 701.45	1.03 (0.10)
Alcohol dependence	30 2 20	481	1.70 (0.09)	29979.50	177706350.02	1.72 (0.09)
Any drug use disorder ^a	30830	89	0.31 (0.04)	30785.50	18 32 10 336.56	0.31 (0.04)
Ány drug abuse	30887	75	0.28 (0.04)	30849.50	183613800.84	0.28 (0.04)
Any drug dependence	33639	101	0.32 (0.04)	33588.50	20 11 88 877.60	0.32 (0.04)
Any mood disorder ^a	26867	666	2.21 (0.12)	26534.00	161163346.22	2.24 (0.12)
Major depressive disorder	28859	489	1.51 (0.08)	28614.50	17 31 83 513.88	1.52 (0.09)
Bipolar I	32 990	189	0.53 (0.05)	32895.50	197561320.45	0.53(0.05)
Bipolar II	34045	74	0.21 (0.03)	34008.00	204341429.64	0.21 (0.03)
Any anxiety disorder ^a	26179	452	1.57 (0.09)	25953.00	157122642.88	1.58 (0.09)
Ány panic disorder	32 302	224	0.62 (0.05)	32190.00	193400490.26	0.62 (0.05)
Social phobia	32352	112	0.32 (0.04)	32296.00	193763316.84	0.32 (0.04)
Specific phobia	29502	147	0.44(0.05)	29428.50	177854709.16	0.44 (0.05)
Generalized anxiety	32361	390	1.12 (0.07)	32166.00	193315672.84	1.13 (0.08)

Table 1 One-year incidence of DSM-IV psychiatric disorders

^aBecause the population at risk for each aggregate disorder category excludes all respondents with prior lifetime histories of any disorder in that category, the denominator of the incidence rate is invariably smaller than the denominator for any component disorder. For the same reason, and because, individuals can become incident cases of more than one disorder in each category in the same time period, the numerator for the aggregate rate is not a simple sum of the numbers of incident cases across the specific disorders.

^bNumbers in parentheses are standard errors.

disorder predicted incident drug dependence. Risk of incident alcohol abuse was decreased among respondents with baseline bipolar II disorder. Among PDs, borderline PD remained a significant predictor of incident alcohol dependence and drug abuse, and schizotypal and narcissistic PDs remained significant predictors of incident drug abuse and drug dependence. The risk of incident alcohol abuse was decreased among respondents with dependent PD.

Similar attenuation in associations between baseline psychopathologic predictors and incident mood and anxiety disorders was observed when baseline comorbidity was controlled. Increased risk of incident MDD was associated with baseline dysthymia and anxiety disorders, except social phobia (Table 6). Increased risks of incident MDD and bipolar I disorder were observed among respondents with schizotypal and borderline PDs. Risk of incident bipolar I disorder was also increased among respondents with baseline PTSD, ADHD and narcissistic PD. Further, risk for incident MDD was decreased among respondents with paranoid PD.

The risk of incident panic disorder was increased among respondents with baseline bipolar I disorder, GAD, PTSD and schizotypal and borderline PDs (Table 7). Baseline panic disorder and schizotypal and borderline PDs predicted incident social phobia. Risk of incident specific phobia was increased among respondents with baseline panic disorder, PTSD and borderline PD. Increased risk of incident GAD was observed among respondents with baseline MDD, bipolar I, and panic disorders and social phobia, and with schizotypal, borderline and narcissistic PDs. Risk of incident GAD was decreased among respondents with histrionic PD.

Discussion

The most common incident disorders in this study were MDD, alcohol abuse and dependence, and GAD. The incidence of MDD was 1.51, virtually identical to the rate in ECA (1.52),¹¹ but lower than the rates in Edmonton (2.79),¹² the Netherlands (2.72)¹³ and Finland (2.05),¹⁵ and higher than those observed in the older long-term follow-up studies (0.24-0.45).^{1-3,5} The incidence of panic disorder (0.62) was similar to rates in the ECA (0.56)^{11,19,20} and the Netherlands $(0.78)^{13}$ surveys. The incidence of social phobia (0.32) was comparable to the rate in the Baltimore Follow-Up Survey $(0.45)^{11}$ but lower than those in the ECA (0.94)¹¹ and the Netherlands (0.93).¹³ One-year incidence of alcohol dependence was 1.70, higher than those observed in the Baltimore $(0.46)^{23}$ or the Netherlands (0.49)¹³ surveys. This study also found a lower incidence of alcohol abuse (1.02) than in the Netherlands survey (2.38). Incidence rates for drug abuse and dependence in this study (0.28, 0.32) and others $(0.28, 0.27)^{13}$ were low. The lower rates reported for most disorders in the long-term studies could be attributed, in part, to smaller numbers of incident cases and the inevitable impact of attrition. Discrepancies in incidence rates among surveys may also reflect differences in survey design, and/or environmental or genetic factors. Differences may also be due

Table 2 One-year incidence and	associations o	of DSM-IV substance	use disorders	and sociodemogral	phic characteri	istics		
Characteristic	Alc	ohol abuse	Alcoho	l dependence	Any	r drug abuse	Any dr	g dependence
	% (s.e.)	OR (99% CI)						
Sex Men Women	$1.6 (0.19) \\ 0.6 (0.07)$	2.3 (1.59–3.40) 1.0	2.5(0.16) 1.1(0.09)	2.4 (1.75–3.16) 1.0	0.3 (0.06) 0.3 (0.05)	1.2 (0.54 - 2.53) 1.0	0.5 (0.08) 0.2 (0.04)	2.7 (1.16–6.37) 1.0
Age (year) 20-29 30-54 ≥55	$\begin{array}{c} 3.1 \ (0.40) \\ 1.0 \ (0.12) \\ 0.2 \ (0.08) \end{array}$	11.7 (3.70–36.67) 4.3 (1.58–11.83) 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 4.8 \ (0.39) \\ 1.6 \ (0.13) \\ 0.5 \ (0.07) \end{array}$	8.7 (5.16–14.77) 3.5 (2.10–5.76) 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \ (0.20) \\ 0.2 \ (0.06) \\ 0.0 \ (0.01) \end{array}$	50.7 (7.21–156.41) 14.4 (2.39–86.41) 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \ (0.19) \\ 0.2 \ (0.05) \\ 0.1 \ (0.05) \end{array}$	8.4 (1.31–53.65) 2.4 (0.52–11.55) 1.0
<i>Race-ethnicity</i> White Black Hispanic	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \ (0.11) \\ 0.8 \ (0.16) \\ 1.1 \ (0.19) \end{array}$	1.0 0.6 (0.34–0.95) 0.8 (0.46–1.48)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \ (0.09) \\ 2.7 \ (0.31) \\ 2.4 \ (0.32) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.3 \ (0.90-1.97)\\ 1.2 \ (0.77-1.76) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \ (0.05) \\ 0.2 \ (0.07) \\ 0.4 \ (0.11) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.5 \ (0.18{-}1.55)\\ 1.1 \ (0.44{-}2.98) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \ (0.05) \\ 0.4 \ (0.10) \\ 0.3 \ (0.08) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.9 \ (0.37-2.08)\\ 0.7 \ (0.27-1.65)\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} Family \ income \ (\$) \\ 0-19 \ 999 \\ 20 \ 000-34 \ 999 \\ 35 \ 000-69 \ 999 \\ \geqslant 70 \ 000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 \ (0.15) \\ 1.1 \ (0.24) \\ 1.0 \ (0.17) \\ 1.2 \ (0.16) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 & (0.39 - 1.34) \\ 1.0 & (0.49 - 1.83) \\ 0.9 & (0.48 - 1.51) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.4 \ (0.25) \\ 1.2 \ (0.16) \\ 1.8 \ (0.18) \\ 1.5 \ (0.16) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3 \ (0.81{-}2.24) \\ 0.7 \ (0.43{-}1.20) \\ 1.1 \ (0.70{-}1.67) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 & (0.11) \\ 0.2 & (0.07) \\ 0.3 & (0.07) \\ 0.2 & (0.06) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.0 \ (0.53-7.22) \\ 1.0 \ (0.29-3.69) \\ 1.4 \ (0.49-4.27) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 & (0.15) \\ 0.4 & (0.10) \\ 0.1 & (0.05) \\ 0.2 & (0.07) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.2 & (0.74 - 6.68) \\ 1.4 & (0.45 - 4.32) \\ 0.6 & (0.18 - 1.98) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$
<i>Marital status</i> Married/cohabiting Separated/divorced/widowed Never married	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \ (0.08) \\ 0.9 \ (0.16) \\ 2.7 \ (0.37) \end{array}$	1.0 3.1 (1.64–5.74) 2.3 (1.31–4.02)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \ (0.10) \\ 1.6 \ (0.20) \\ 4.1 \ (0.36) \end{array}$	1.0 2.0 (1.28–3.19) 1.7 (1.12–2.55)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 & (0.03) \\ 0.3 & (0.10) \\ 0.8 & (0.16) \end{array}$	1.0 3.5 (1.03–11.88) 2.0 (0.75–5.57)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 \ (0.03) \\ 0.4 \ (0.12) \\ 0.9 \ (0.17) \end{array}$	1.0 4.0 (1.26–12.72) 2.8 (0.89–8.91)
<i>Education</i> Less than high school High school Some college or beyond	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \ (0.13) \\ 0.7 \ (0.13) \\ 1.3 \ (0.13) \end{array}$	0.6 (0.32–1.22) 0.7 (0.38–1.15) 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9 \ (0.28) \\ 1.7 \ (0.17) \\ 1.7 \ (0.11) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \ (0.72{-}1.90) \\ 1.1 \ (0.73{-}1.58) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 & (0.04) \\ 0.3 & (0.07) \\ 0.3 & (0.05) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \ (0.12 - 1.25) \\ 1.0 \ (0.45 - 2.07) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	0.4 (0.15) 0.3 (0.06) 0.3 (0.06)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.3 & (0.44 - 3.67) \\ 0.9 & (0.39 - 2.11) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$
<i>Urbanicity</i> Urban Rural	$1.0\ (0.11)$ $1.0\ (0.19)$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \ (0.61 - 2.06) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	1.7 (0.10) 1.6 (0.25)	$1.2 \ (0.72 - 1.89) \ 1.0 \ 1.0$	0.3 (0.04) 0.3 (0.09)	1.0 (0.39–2.62) 1.0	0.3 (0.05) 0.2 (0.07)	1.5 (0.57 - 4.00) 1.0
<i>Region</i> Northeast Midwest South West	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \ (0.17) \\ 1.3 \ (0.23) \\ 1.0 \ (0.14) \\ 0.8 \ (0.15) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 & (0.61{-}2.47) \\ 1.7 & (0.87{-}3.13) \\ 1.2 & (0.66{-}2.09) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \ (0.23) \\ 2.0 \ (0.25) \\ 1.7 \ (0.14) \\ 1.6 \ (0.16) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \ (0.62 - 1.61) \\ 1.2 \ (0.78 - 1.93) \\ 1.0 \ (0.68 - 1.35) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	0.4 (0.13) 0.3 (0.10) 0.2 (0.05) 0.3 (0.08)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 & (0.40 - 3.79) \\ 0.9 & (0.30 - 2.97) \\ 0.7 & (0.25 - 1.71) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	0.4 (0.09) 0.5 (0.13) 0.2 (0.06) 0.4 (0.08)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 & (0.43-2.35) \\ 1.3 & (0.50-3.50) \\ 0.5 & (0.19-1.57) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$
Abbreviations: CI, confidence int <i>Note:</i> Figures in boldface are sign	erval; OR, od nificant at <i>P</i> <	ds ratio. 0.01.						

Substance use, mood and anxiety disorders $$\mathsf{BF}$$ Grant $et\ al$

1056

npg

Molecular Psychiatry

Characteristic	Major dep	pressive disorder	В	ipolar I	В	lipolar II
	% (s.e.)	OR (99% CI)	% (s.e.)	OR (99% CI)	% (s.e.)	OR (99% CI)
Sex						
Men	1.0 (0.10)	0.5 (0.37–0.76)	0.5(0.06)	0.8 (0.49-1.26)	0.1 (0.03)	0.4 (0.17-1.01)
Women	2.0 (0.14)	1.0	0.6 (0.06)	1.0	0.3 (0.05)	1.0
Age (year)						
20-29	1.9 (0.26)	2.0 (1.19–3.41)	0.9 (0.15)	4.0 (1.69–9.56)	0.3 (0.09)	4.9 (1.46–16.19)
30-54	1.6(0.12)	1.7 (1.22-2.47)	0.6(0.07)	3.0 (1.38–6.57)	0.3(0.05)	4.2 (1.19–14.64)
≥55	1.2 (0.11)	1.0	0.2 (0.05)	1.0	0.1 (0.03)	1.0
Bace-ethnicity						
White	14(010)	1.0	0.4(0.05)	1.0	0.2(0.04)	1.0
Black	1.1(0.10) 1 4 (0.16)	0.7(0.49-1.04)	10(0.00)	1 6 (0 91-2 86)	0.2(0.06)	0.9(0.34-2.30)
Hispanic	2.3 (0.29)	1.4 (0.95–2.15)	0.7 (0.16)	0.9 (0.41 - 2.07)	0.2(0.05)	0.6 (0.19 - 1.91)
Family income (\$)						
0-19999	2 5 (0 26)	2 1 (1 14–3 73)	0.8 (0.13)	1.8(0.73-4.53)	03(007)	2 9 (0 69–12 30)
20 000-34 999	1.6(0.20)	15(0.85-2.52)	0.8(0.13)	1.0(0.70 1.00) 1.9(0.79 4.58)	0.5(0.07)	4.7(1.00-22.36)
35,000-69,999	1.0(0.13) 1.4(0.14)	$1.0 (0.00 \ 2.02)$ 1.4 (0.84 - 2.34)	0.0(0.10) 0.4(0.07)	1.0(0.75 1.00) 1.0(0.46-2.13)	0.0(0.10) 0.1(0.04)	$1.7 (1.00 \ 22.00)$ $1.0 (0.25 \ 4.10)$
≥70 000	0.9(0.14)	1.0	0.4(0.07) 0.4(0.07)	1.0 (0.40 2.13)	0.1(0.04) 0.1(0.04)	1.0 (0.25 4.10)
Marital status						
Married/cohabiting	1.1 (0.10)	1.0	0.5 (0.05)	1.0	0.2(0.04)	1.0
Separated/divorced/widowed	2.8(0.26)	2.2(144-348)	0.5(0.07)	0.9(0.51-1.62)	0.3(0.07)	1.3(0.42-4.20)
Never married	1.7 (0.21)	1.2 (0.76–1.86)	0.9 (0.14)	1.2 (0.61 - 2.24)	0.3 (0.08)	0.9 (0.35 - 2.49)
Education						
Less than high school	1.7 (0.23)	0.9(0.58 - 1.45)	0.9 (0.16)	2.2 (1.08-4.41)	0.2(0.07)	1.2 (0.38-3.56)
High school	1.7(0.16)	11(0.80-1.64)	0.6 (0.09)	1.5(0.82-2.61)	0.3(0.08)	1.2(0.50-3.89)
Some college or beyond	1.4 (0.11)	1.0	0.4 (0.05)	1.0	0.2(0.04)	1.0
Urbanicity						
Urban	1.5(0.09)	1.1 (0.69–1.77)	0.5(0.05)	0.6 (0.33-1.01)	0.2(0.04)	1.0(0.35 - 2.67)
Rural	1.4 (0.22)	1.0	0.8 (0.14)	1.0	0.2 (0.07)	1.0
Region						
Ňortheast	1.3 (0.19)	0.9 (0.54-1.42)	0.6 (0.10)	0.9 (0.47–1.64)	0.1 (0.05)	0.7 (0.21-2.01)
Midwest	1.8 (0.20)	1.2(0.79-1.73)	0.4(0.09)	0.5(0.25-1.17)	0.2(0.06)	0.8(0.26-2.42)
South	1.4(0.13)	0.9(0.63-1.28)	0.5(0.07)	0.7 (0.41 - 1.29)	0.2(0.07)	1.1(0.34 - 3.22)
West	1.6(0.16)	10	0.6(0.10)	10	0.2(0.06)	10
	1.0 (0.10)	1.0	0.0 (0.10)	1.0	5.2 (0.00)	1.0

 Table 3
 One-year incidence and associations of DSM-IV mood disorders and sociodemographic characteristics

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

Note: Figures in boldface are significant at P < 0.01.

to diagnostic criteria used in the current study (that is, DSM-IV) and prior studies that used earlier DSM classifications or ICD-10 criteria.

Consistent with most prior cross-sectional^{27,50–54,65–71} and longitudinal research,^{2,11–14} incidence rates of MDD and anxiety disorders except social phobia were greater among women, whereas incidence rates of most substance use disorders were greater among men. Also consistent with these prevalence surveys, there were no sex differences in the incidence of bipolar I and II disorders. However, unlike earlier prospective studies,^{11–13} this study found inverse relationships of almost all assessed disorders with age. Although cross-sectional studies^{17,18,27,50–54} have consistently reported

inverse relationships of most disorders with age, it remained unclear whether these associations were real, artifactual due to longer duration of illness, or due to mortality, recall, or other biases. The findings on age derived from prospectively determined incidence rates strongly suggest that the observed age differentials represent true differences in first incidence, with greater incidence among younger cohorts.

This study also identified other sociodemographic risk factors for DSM-IV disorders not generally reported in prior research due to limitations of sample size. Incidences of alcohol and drug abuse and dependence, MDD and GAD were greater among separated/ divorced/widowed individuals, a result that extended

Table 4 Une-year incidence and	associations of	t DSM-IV anxiety di	sorders and so	ociodemographic chai	acteristics	ific shokic	Con and	lized anxiotu
CHURING CLEATISTIC	7 un % (s.e.)	C uisolael OR (99% CI)	000 ((s.e.)	or (99% CI)	% (s.e.)	OR (99% CI)	General % (s.e.)	OR (99% CI)
Sex Men Women	0.4 (0.06) 0.8 (0.08)	0.5 (0.28–0.80) 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.05 \end{array}$	$0.8 \ (0.42 - 1.57) \ 1.0$	0.3 (0.06) 0.6 (0.07)	0.6 (0.32–0.99) 1.0	0.7 (0.08) 1.5 (0.12)	0.5 (0.34–0.72) 1.0
$Age, y 20-29 30-54 \geqslant 55$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	2.7 (1.21–6.09) 2.6 (1.31–5.19) 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 & (0.12) \\ 0.4 & (0.06) \\ 0.1 & (0.04) \end{array}$	5.1 (1.52–16.79) 4.0 (1.58–10.22) 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.6 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.04 \\ \end{array}$	1.9 (0.69–5.34) 3.1 (1.50–6.47) 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \ (0.18) \\ 1.5 \ (0.12) \\ 0.7 \ (0.09) \end{array}$	1.9 (0.97–3.74) 2.9 (1.80–4.81) 1.0
<i>Race-ethnicity</i> White Black Hispanic	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \ (0.06) \\ 0.6 \ (0.10) \\ 0.7 \ (0.15) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.8 \ (0.47{-}1.39)\\ 0.9 \ (0.44{-}1.69) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \ (0.05) \\ 0.3 \ (0.08) \\ 0.4 \ (0.08) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.8 \ (0.35{-}1.66)\\ 0.8 \ (0.37{-}1.78) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \ (0.06) \\ 0.6 \ (0.10) \\ 0.5 \ (0.14) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.1 \ (0.58{-}2.10)\\ 1.0 \ (0.46{-}2.31) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.13 \end{array}$	1.0 0.8 (0.52-1.18) 0.5 (0.27-0.91)
Family income, \$ 0−19 999 20 000−34 999 35 000−69 999 ≥ 70 000	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \ (0.13) \\ 0.6 \ (0.10) \\ 0.5 \ (0.09) \\ 0.5 \ (0.08) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{2.3} (1.12 - 4.67) \\ 1.2 (0.58 - 2.60) \\ 1.2 (0.58 - 2.27) \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 & (0.12) \\ 0.2 & (0.06) \\ 0.4 & (0.07) \\ 0.2 & (0.04) \end{array}$	5.5 $(2.08-14.71)$ 1.7 $(0.60-4.92)$ 2.6 $(0.95-6.83)$ 1.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \ (0.10) \\ 0.3 \ (0.10) \\ 0.5 \ (0.09) \\ 0.4 \ (0.08) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \ (0.51{-}2.93) \\ 0.7 \ (0.25{-}2.08) \\ 1.2 \ (0.57{-}2.56) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ 0.17 \\ 1.1 \\ 0.17 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.11 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 (1.15 - 3.85) \\ 1.5 (0.83 - 2.69) \\ 1.2 (0.76 - 1.96) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$
<i>Marital status</i> Married/cohabiting Separated/divorced/widowed Never married	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 & (0.07) \\ 0.6 & (0.11) \\ 0.8 & (0.12) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 0.9 \ (0.49{-}1.69)\\ 0.9 \ (0.48{-}1.75) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \ (0.05) \\ 0.4 \ (0.08) \\ 0.5 \ (0.10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.3 \ (0.65{-}2.74)\\ 0.9 \ (0.41{-}2.06) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \ (0.06) \\ 0.4 \ (0.08) \\ 0.7 \ (0.15) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0\\ 1.2 \ (0.57-2.54)\\ 1.9 \ (0.88-4.04) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 \\ 0.09 \\ 1.6 \\ 0.18 \\ 1.4 \\ 0.20 \end{array}$	1.0 1.6 (1.02–2.42) 1.3 (0.76–2.08)
<i>Education</i> Less than high school High school Some college or beyond	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.7 \end{array} \\ 0.6 \\ 0.07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \ (0.78{-}2.74) \\ 0.8 \ (0.49{-}1.45) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	0.3 (0.10) 0.3 (0.06) 0.3 (0.05)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 & (0.29{-}2.31) \\ 0.8 & (0.39{-}1.60) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \ (0.17) \\ 0.4 \ (0.08) \\ 0.5 \ (0.06) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \ (0.59 - 3.74) \\ 0.9 \ (0.43 - 1.76) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \\ 0.22 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.11 \\ 1.2 \\ 0.10 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \; (0.53{-}1.81) \\ 0.6 \; (0.41{-}1.01) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$
<i>Urbanicity</i> Urban Rural	0.7 (0.06) 0.5 (0.09)	$1.5 (0.81 - 2.73) \\ 1.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \ (0.04) \\ 0.4 \ (0.09) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 & (0.41{-}1.54) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$0.5\ (0.05)\ 0.4\ (0.09)$	$1.3 \ (0.62-2.71) \ 1.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \ (0.08) \\ 0.9 \ (0.15) \end{array}$	$1.4 \ (0.86 - 2.22) \ 1.0 \ 1.0$
Region Northeast Midwest South West	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 & (0.11) \\ 0.6 & (0.12) \\ 0.7 & (0.10) \\ 0.6 & (0.10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 & (0.56-2.08) \\ 1.1 & (0.58-2.07) \\ 1.2 & (0.61-2.18) \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	0.2 (0.06) 0.4 (0.09) 0.3 (0.06) 0.3 (0.08)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 & (0.26-1.99) \\ 1.1 & (0.40-2.81) \\ 1.0 & (0.42-2.36) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \ (0.10) \\ 0.4 \ (0.09) \\ 0.5 \ (0.08) \\ 0.4 \ (0.09) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \ (0.46-2.74) \\ 0.9 \ (0.39-2.22) \\ 1.4 \ (0.68-2.86) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$	1.0 (0.16) 1.0 (0.15) 1.2 (0.13) 1.1 (0.13)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 & (0.54{-}1.58) \\ 1.0 & (0.57{-}1.66) \\ 1.1 & (0.75{-}1.72) \\ 1.0 \end{array}$
Abbreviations: CI, confidence into <i>Note:</i> Figures in boldface are sign	erval; OR, odd ifficant at <i>P</i> <0	s ratio. 0.01.						

Substance use, mood and anxiety disorders $$\mathsf{BF}$$ Grant $et\ al$

1058

npg

Molecular Psychiatry

and other baseline psych	iatric disorders							
Disorder present at baseline	Contr	olling for sociode.	mographic charact	teristics	Controlling	for sociodemogra psychiatri	phic characteristi c disorders	cs and other
	Alcohol abuse OR (99% CI)	Alcohol dependence OR (99% CI)	Any drug abuse OR (99% CI)	Any drug dependence OR (99% CI)	Alcohol abuse OR (99% CI)	Alcohol dependence OR (99% CI)	Any drug abuse OR (99% CI)	Any drug dependence OR (99% CI)
Alcohol use disorder Alcohol abuse Alcohol dependence		4.5 (3.15–6.55) 	3.4 (1.56–7.29) 5.3 (2.51–11.41)	2.6 (1.11–6.08) 4.0 (1.72–9.09)		3.9 (2.61–5.71) —	1.7 (0.68–4.23) 2.9 (1.15–7.43)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 \ (0.28{-}1.96) \\ 1.7 \ (0.65{-}4.22) \end{array}$
Any drug use disorder Any drug abuse Any drug dependence	3.6 (1.95–6.59) 3.3 (0.83–13.02)	2.9 (1.99–4.14) 2.7 (1.22–6.14)	$\frac{-}{2.2(0.14-33.49)}$	14.4 (5.59–37.06) 	3.0 (1.36–6.41) 1.0 (0.18–5.06)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5 \ (0.99-2.40) \\ 0.9 \ (0.36-2.25) \end{array}$		9.9 (2.65–37.36) —
Mood disorder Major depressive	1.4 (0.76–2.42)	1.6 (1.09–2.33)	2.2 (0.96–5.15)	2.5 (1.08–5.74)	1.1 (0.55–2.02)	1.1 (0.72–1.68)	1.3 (0.55–3.08)	1.3 (0.58–3.10)
uisoluer Bipolar I Dysthymia	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 & (0.32 - 2.14) \\ 0.2 & (0.05 - 1.18) \\ 1.1 & (0.36 - 3.14) \end{array}$	2.4 (1.38–4.15) 2.0 (0.85–4.63) 1.2 (0.57–2.40)	5.7 (2.31–14.26) 1.8 (0.35–9.23) 2.9 (0.76–10.99)	3.6 (1.35–9.73) 2.3 (0.79–6.64) 4.4 (0.98–19.89)	0.4 (0.15–1.24) 0.2 (0.03–0.99) 0.9 (0.25–2.90)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.4 \ (0.75 - 2.71) \\ 1.3 \ (0.55 - 3.10) \\ 0.8 \ (0.34 - 1.66) \end{array}$	2.8 (1.01–7.92) 1.1 (0.21–5.95) 1.5 (0.37–5.89)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.4 & (0.44 - 4.68) \\ 1.5 & (0.46 - 4.98) \\ 2.0 & (0.37 - 11.12) \end{array}$
Anxiety disorder Panic disorder Social phobia Specific phobia Generalized anxiety	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 & (0.47-2.86) \\ 1.3 & (0.62-2.71) \\ 1.6 & (0.94-2.79) \\ 1.6 & (0.71-3.48) \end{array}$	1.7 (0.95–2.88) 1.6 (0.96–2.58) 1.5 (1.01–2.19) 2.0 (1.14–3.53)	4.3 (1.62–11.57) 1.9 (0.60–6.04) 2.3 (1.08–5.05) 3.7 (1.29–10.65)	 7.5 (3.28-16.92) 3.4 (1.38-8.54) 2.2 (0.97-4.93) 5.0 (2.00-12.63) 	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 & (0.33-2.21) \\ 0.9 & (0.41-1.92) \\ 1.3 & (0.70-2.51) \\ 1.3 & (0.47-3.42) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 & (0.54 - 1.95) \\ 0.9 & (0.51 - 1.50) \\ 1.0 & (0.66 - 1.64) \\ 1.1 & (0.57 - 2.10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 & (0.74{-}5.90) \\ 0.7 & (0.22{-}2.46) \\ 1.3 & (0.55{-}2.46) \\ 1.5 & (0.54{-}4.17) \\ 1.5 & (0.54{-}4.17) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3.7 (1.38-9.91) \\ 1.3 (0.50-3.63) \\ 1.0 (0.44-2.44) \\ 2.3 (0.80-6.65) \end{array}$
uisoruer Posttraumatic stress disorder	1.5 (0.82–2.90)	1.8 (1.14–2.94)	4.5 (1.64–12.26)	3.7 (1.41–8.58)	1.2 (0.59–2.42)	1.2 (0.71–1.97)	2.2 (0.76–6.21)	$1.7 \ (0.65 - 4.34)$
Attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder	2.3 (0.92–5.69)	2.2 (1.13–4.24)	5.4 (1.79–16.27)	3.3 (1.13–9.38)	1.7 (0.58–4.80)	1.5 (0.75–2.96)	2.7 (0.86–8.24)	$1.3 \ (0.42 - 4.10)$
Personality disorder Paranoid Schizoid Schizotypal Antisocial Borderline Histrionic Narcissistic Avoidant Dependent Obsessive-compulsive	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 & (0.34 - 3.70) \\ 0.9 & (0.20 - 4.24) \\ 1.9 & (0.63 - 5.54) \\ 1.5 & (0.51 - 4.36) \\ 2.0 & (0.97 - 3.97) \\ 1.2 & (0.29 - 5.41) \\ 1.8 & (0.86 - 3.82) \\ 0.8 & (0.22 - 3.24) \\ 0.8 & (0.23 - 6.34) \\ 0.8 & (0.35 - 1.83) \\ \end{array}$	 1.9 (1.07-3.35) 1.4 (0.63-2.88) 2.5 (1.47-4.17) 1.5 (0.75-2.82) 3.9 (2.62-5.84) 3.9 (2.62-5.84) 3.9 (1.11-4.73) 1.8 (1.07-2.94) 1.2 (0.37-3.63) 0.1 (0.01-2.06) 1.6 (0.96-2.60) 	1.8 $(0.48-6.86)$ 2.2 $(0.53-8.64)$ 8.0 $(3.36-18.81)$ 3.9 $(1.37-11.33)$ 8.9 $(4.04-19.61)$ 3.1 $(0.69-13.98)$ 3.1 $(0.69-13.98)$ 3.2 $(0.47-12.29)$ 2.4 $(0.47-12.29)$ 2.0 $(0.73-5.21)$	2.5 (0.92–6.76) 2.3 (0.51–10.75) 10.2 (4.62–22.30) 3.0 (1.22–7.24) 6.8 (2.86–16.06) 1.8 (0.34–9.33) 5.4 (2.65–11.19) 5.5 (1.58–19.19) 13.8 (1.10–174.80) 1.8 (0.70–4.72)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.36 \\ -4.42) \\ 0.36 \\ -4.42) \\ 0.36 \\ -4.42) \\ 0.36 \\ -4.42) \\ 0.14 \\ 0.55 \\ -3.38) \\ 1.4 \\ 0.55 \\ -3.24) \\ 0.5 \\ 0.11 \\ -2.25) \\ 0.5 \\ 0.11 \\ -2.25) \\ 0.6 \\ 0.26 \\ -1.50) \end{array}$	0.9 (0.50-1.69) 0.7 (0.33-1.60) 1.4 (0.77-2.50) 0.6 (0.31-1.30) 0.6 (0.31-1.30) 1.1 (0.51-2.46) 1.1 (0.66-2.00) 0.6 (0.17-2.21) 0.6 (0.17-2.21) 0.9 (0.53-1.68)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 & (0.13-2.55) \\ 0.9 & (0.21-4.21) \\ 4.1 & (1.35-12.39) \\ 1.5 & (0.55-4.16) \\ 1.5 & (0.55-4.16) \\ 4.1 & (1.52-11.02) \\ 0.9 & (0.19-4.45) \\ 0.9 & (0.19-4.45) \\ 0.8 & (0.15-4.69) \\ \hline \end{array}$	0.8 (0.27–2.38) 0.8 (0.18–3.90) 4.4 (1.58–12.40) 0.9 (0.34–2.57) 0.9 (0.34–2.57) 0.8 (0.13–4.34) 0.8 (0.13–4.34) 2.8 (1.29–6.26) 2.0 (0.55–7.01) 4.2 (0.42–42.30) 0.6 (0.22–1.71)
Abbreviations: CI, confid <i>Note</i> : Figures in boldface ^a Zero cell.	lence interval; OR e are significant at	, odds ratio. : <i>P</i> <0.01.						

Substance use, mood and anxiety disorders BF Grant $et \; al$

Molecular Psychiatry

npg

Disorder present at baseline	Controlling for	sociodemographi	c characteristics	Controlling for and o	r sociodemograph ther psychiatric d	ic characteristics lisorders
	Major depressive disorder OR (99% CI)	Bipolar I disorder OR (99% CI)	Bipolar II disorder OR (99% CI)	Major depressive disorder OR (99% CI)	Bipolar I disorder OR (99% CI)	Bipolar II disorder OR (99% CI)
Alcohol use disorder Alcohol abuse Alcohol dependence	1.2 (0.85–1.75) 1.2 (0.71–1.91)	1.5 (0.91–2.57) 2.4 (1.39–4.16)	0.5 (0.19–1.35) 1.0 (0.34–2.73)	1.1 (0.70–1.63) 0.9 (0.48–1.83)	0.8 (0.40–1.77) 1.7 (0.72–4.04)	0.4 (0.12–1.10) 1.3 (0.38–4.14)
Any drug use disord Any drug abuse Any drug dependence	er 1.3 (0.84–2.09) 1.2 (0.60–2.54)	1.6 (0.85–2.94) 1.8 (0.67–4.82)	0.6 (0.20–2.04) 0.5 (0.03–6.58)	1.2 (0.69–2.16) 0.9 (0.39–2.29)	1.0 (0.43–2.12) 0.7 (0.19–2.32)	0.7 (0.17–2.50) 0.4 (0.03–7.15)
<i>Mood disorder</i> Major depressive disorder Bipolar I Bipolar II Dysthymia		1.6 (0.99–2.74) 1.7 (0.60–5.02) 1.6 (0.59–4.29)	3.2 (1.22–8.69) 1.4 (0.30–6.94)	 	0.9 (0.50–1.53) 0.7 (0.23–2.07) 0.8 (0.28–2.29)	1.9 (0.64–5.55) 0.7 (0.15–3.16)
Anxiety disorder Panic disorder Social phobia Specific phobia Generalized anxiety disorder Posttraumatic stress disorder	 1.9 (1.18–3.16) 2.0 (1.21–3.19) 2.0 (1.33–2.89) 2.2 (1.30–3.74) 2.2 (1.50–3.12) 	 3.3 (1.63-6.79) 2.6 (1.22-5.44) 1.9 (1.07-3.32) 3.1 (1.64-5.87) 4.2 (2.58-6.95) 	3.0 (1.02–9.01) 1.9 (0.63–5.48) 1.7 (0.67–4.37) 5.0 (1.60–15.80) 2.6 (1.01–7.04)	1.8 (1.01–3.08) 1.6 (0.91–2.69) 1.6 (1.02–2.40) 2.2 (1.09–4.26) 2.0 (1.30–2.99)	1.9 (0.80–4.51) 1.1 (0.50–2.42) 1.0 (0.53–1.78) 1.5 (0.73–3.11) 2.4 (1.33–4.31)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.7 & (0.48-5.82) \\ 0.8 & (0.26-2.43) \\ 0.9 & (0.32-2.34) \\ 2.9 & (0.41-21.09) \\ 1.5 & (0.50-4.62) \end{array}$
Attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder	1.6 (0.69–3.68)	5.0 (2.26–10.97)	1.1 (0.24–5.14)	1.3 (0.52–3.28)	2.6 (1.14–6.10)	0.6 (0.12–2.94)
Personality disorder Paranoid Schizoid Schizotypal Antisocial Borderline Histrionic Narcissistic Avoidant Dependent Obsessive- compulsive	0.4 (0.20–0.91) 0.8 (0.34–2.04) 2.6 (1.54–4.27) 0.6 (0.25–1.68) 3.6 (2.41–5.39) 0.4 (0.07–2.40) 1.8 (1.20–2.78) 0.8 (0.25–2.42) 0.3 (0.02–3.96) 1.0 (0.55–1.78)	 2.7 (1.34-5.46) 2.3 (0.88-5.89) 5.2 (2.91-9.23) 2.6 (1.12-6.07) 8.4 (5.20-13.68) 3.4 (1.19-9.62) 7.1 (4.24-12.00) 2.1 (0.65-6.84) 2.3 (0.15-35.13) 2.2 (1.10-4.56) 	1.7 (0.45-6.62) 0.6 (0.15-2.86) 4.3 (1.48-12.35) 2.4 (0.66-8.96) 4.9 (1.97-12.24) 1.5 (0.22-10.74) 3.9 (1.42-10.77) 2.6 (0.46-14.34) <u>-</u> ^a 3.5 (1.34-9.22)	0.3 (0.14–0.72) 0.7 (0.27–1.64) 2.1 (1.17–3.86) 0.5 (0.18–1.36) 4.4 (2.74–7.10) 0.3 (0.05–1.68) 1.4 (0.88–2.13) 0.7 (0.20–2.24) 0.3 (0.02–4.15) 0.8 (0.42–1.38)	1.0 $(0.49-2.21)$ 0.9 $(0.35-2.57)$ 2.0 $(1.04-3.71)$ 1.2 $(0.49-2.82)$ 4.2 $(2.26-7.72)$ 1.2 $(0.40-3.44)$ 3.7 $(2.05-6.68)$ 0.8 $(0.23-2.57)$ 0.9 $(0.05-13.51)$ 1.0 $(0.47-2.25)$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 & (0.18-2.84) \\ 0.3 & (0.06-1.29) \\ 1.8 & (0.59-5.48) \\ 2.2 & (0.50-9.90) \\ 3.0 & (0.90-10.15) \\ 0.7 & (0.10-5.32) \\ 2.0 & (0.72-5.32) \\ 1.0 & (0.19-5.15) \\ \hline a^{a} \\ 1.8 & (0.66-4.77) \end{array}$

 Table 6
 Associations of 1-year incidence of DSM-IV mood disorders by specific psychiatric disorders at baseline, controlling for sociodemographic characteristics and other baseline psychiatric disorders

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio. Note: Figures in boldface are significant at P < 0.01. ^aZero cell.

to never-married individuals for alcohol abuse and dependence. Although these findings do not entirely clarify the causal relationship between marital status and psychopathology, they indicate that the relationship is not due solely to unmarried status resulting from preexisting psychopathology. Also, low family income was significantly related to risks of most anxiety disorders and MDD, but not other mood or substance use disorders. Incident bipolar I disorder, however, was associated with less than a high school education. Taken together, these results highlight age as an important general risk factor for DSM-IV substance use, mood and anxiety disorders, whereas effects of sex and lower socioeconomic status appear to be disorder specific. Future analyses of the NESARC data will test whether other prospectively

other baseline psychiatric	disorders	,	•	5 1	× ·	þ		
Disorder present at baseline	Contr	olling for sociode.	nographic charact	teristics	Controlling.	for sociodemogra psychiatri	iphic characteristi ic disorders	ics and other
	Panic disorder OR (99% CI)	Social phobia OR (99% CI)	Specific phobia OR (99% CI)	Generalized anxiety disorder OR (99% CI)	Panic disorder OR (99% CI)	Social phobia OR (99% CI)	Specific phobia OR (99% CI)	Generalized anxiety disorder OR (99% CI)
Alcohol use disorder Alcohol abuse Alcohol dependence	1.5 (0.89–2.66) 2.1 (1.23–3.73)	1.3 (0.56–3.03) 2.1 (1.01–4.52)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \ (0.62{-}2.19) \\ 1.6 \ (0.76{-}3.51) \end{array}$	1.9 (1.24–2.80) 2.3 (1.49–3.71)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 \ (0.52 - 1.80) \\ 1.3 \ (0.67 - 2.54) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 & (0.22{-}1.83) \\ 1.5 & (0.57{-}4.00) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 & (0.42 - 1.94) \\ 1.4 & (0.56 - 3.60) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2 \ (0.75 - 1.99) \\ 1.2 \ (0.66 - 2.13) \end{array}$
Any drug use disorder Any drug abuse Any drug dependence	1.9 (1.06–3.47) 2.5 (0.95–6.40)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.2 & (0.93 - 5.26) \\ 1.0 & (0.25 - 3.95) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \ (0.40{-}2.87) \\ 1.2 \ (0.25{-}5.76) \end{array}$	1.8 (1.11–2.78) 2.3 (1.20–4.35)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 \ (0.55-2.28) \\ 1.0 \ (0.33-2.99) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.7 \ (0.68 - 4.39) \\ 0.3 \ (0.05 - 1.25) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 & (0.23 - 2.62) \\ 0.7 & (0.14 - 4.06) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 & (0.49 - 1.58) \\ 0.9 & (0.40 - 1.94) \end{array}$
Mood disorder Major depressive	2.6 (1.58–4.18)	2.6 (1.23–5.45)	1.4 (0.73–2.79)	3.1 (2.11–4.55)	1.7 (0.98–2.84)	1.5 (0.71–2.99)	0.9 (0.47–1.88)	1.9 (1.30–2.91)
utsoruer Bipolar I Bipolar II Dysthymia	3.6 (1.88–6.75) 2.9 (1.08–7.62) 3.5 (1.75–6.90)	3.8 (1.70–8.63) 3.5 (1.12–11.00) 4.9 (1.95–12.14)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.0 & (0.57-7.04) \\ 2.0 & (0.48-8.58) \\ 1.7 & (0.53-5.29) \end{array}$	4.5 (2.72–7.48) 2.3 (1.01–5.25) 3.5 (2.02–6.03)	2.3 (1.09–4.98) 2.1 (0.75–5.70) 1.7 (0.79–3.50)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9 & (0.76 - 4.78) \\ 2.1 & (0.67 - 6.58) \\ 2.1 & (0.76 - 5.74) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1 & (0.21 - 5.38) \\ 1.2 & (0.26 - 5.84) \\ 1.1 & (0.33 - 3.29) \end{array}$	2.6 (1.45–4.49) 1.6 (0.68–3.74) 1.6 (0.91–2.83)
Anxiety disorder Panic disorder Social phobia Specific phobia Generalized anxiety	2.9 (1.43–5.73) 1.8 (1.06–2.97) 5.2 (2.80–9.54)	6.2 (2.98–12.97) 6.2 (2.98–12.97) 7.4 (1.85–6.13) 4.5 (2.29–8.72)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{4.7} (2.33-9.47) \\ 1.9 (0.84-4.35) \\ \hline 1.4 (0.56-3.70) \end{array}$	3.7 (2.31–5.78) 4.1 (2.57–6.68) 2.2 (1.52–3.20) 	1.3 (0.66–2.74) 1.0 (0.57–1.73) 2.6 (1.25–5.41)	2.8 (1.26–6.18) 1.8 (0.92–3.58) 1.6 (0.72–3.66)	3.6 (1.48–8.62) 1.1 (0.46–2.86) 0.8 (0.27–2.14)	1.7 (1.04–2.93) 1.8 (1.01–3.17) 1.1 (0.76–1.72)
ulsoruer Posttraumatic stress disorder	4.4 (2.62–7.28)	4.4 (2.04–9.54)	3.6 (1.81–7.18)	3.1 (2.06–4.61)	2.6 (1.48–4.49)	2.0 (0.91–4.50)	2.7 (1.25–5.66)	1.5 (0.95–2.37)
Attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder	3.0 (1.07–8.49)	1.9 (0.71–5.37)	3.1 (0.63–15.16)	4.2 (2.04–8.77)	1.5(0.50-4.58)	0.8 (0.28–2.24)	2.0 (0.36–10.82)	1.9 (0.89–3.94)
Personality disorder Paranoid Schizotypal Antisocial Borderline Histrionic Narcissistic Avoidant Dependent Obsessive-compulsive	2.3 (1.07-4.99) 1.8 (0.60-5.29) 5.1 (2.72-9.56) 1.1 (0.34-3.38) 4.7 (2.71-8.18) 1.8 (0.62-5.05) 2.0 (0.94-4.10) 0.9 (0.31-2.93) 2.7 (0.35-20.70) 2.2 (1.14-4.39)	2.2 (0.71–6.79) 1.7 (0.43–6.47) 7.2 (3.86–13.54) 0.9 (0.16–4.67) 8.2 (4.65–14.38) 3.4 (0.70–16.82) 3.1 (1.56–6.12) 3.6 (0.81–16.03) 1.9 (0.72–5.14) 1.9 (0.72–5.14)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.8 & (0.30-2.21) \\ 1.1 & (0.21-6.19) \\ 4.5 & (1.80-11.29) \\ 0.8 & (0.18-3.41) \\ 4.1 & (2.01-8.22) \\ 1.7 & (0.25-11.31) \\ 3.0 & (1.43-6.08) \\ 0.2 & (0.01-2.47) \\ 0.7 & (0.04-10.76) \\ 0.8 & (0.29-1.96) \\ \end{array}$	2.7 (1.48–4.88) 1.8 (0.80–4.07) 6.4 (4.01–10.22) 2.0 (0.84–4.77) 9.9 (6.58–14.78) 0.7 (0.24–2.06) 4.7 (3.15–7.08) 4.7 (3.15–7.08) 1.4 (0.10–21.53) 2.0 (1.25–3.31)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0 & (0.43-2.15) \\ 0.8 & (0.26-2.24) \\ 0.5 & (1.30-5.15) \\ 0.5 & (0.15-1.60) \\ 0.5 & (0.13-4.52) \\ 0.7 & (0.25-2.14) \\ 1.0 & (0.48-2.26) \\ 0.3 & (0.10-1.10) \\ 1.0 & (0.12-7.94) \\ 1.1 & (0.59-2.17) \\ 1.1 & (0.59-2.17) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 & (0.21-2.24) \\ 0.6 & (0.14-2.29) \\ 0.8 & (1.26-6.13) \\ 0.3 & (0.06-1.98) \\ 0.3 & (1.51-8.49) \\ 1.2 & (0.23-6.76) \\ 1.3 & (0.62-2.71) \\ 1.2 & (0.27-5.19) \\ 1.2 & (0.27-5.19) \\ 1.2 & (0.27-2.02) \\ 0.7 & (0.27-2.02) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 & (0.15-1.19) \\ 0.6 & (0.11-3.73) \\ 2.9 & (0.97-8.43) \\ 0.5 & (0.10-2.35) \\ 0.5 & (0.10-2.35) \\ 0.1 & (0.14-5.70) \\ 0.9 & (0.19-4.04) \\ 0.1 & (0.09-4.04) \\ 0.1 & (0.09-4.04) \\ 0.1 & (0.02-4.88) \\ 0.4 & (0.15-1.16) \\ 0.4 & (0.15-1.16) \\ \end{array}$	0.9 (0.49–1.66) 0.7 (0.28–1.54) 2.6 (1.54–4.45) 0.8 (0.32–2.10) 4.7 (2.94–7.60) 4.7 (2.94–7.60) 0.2 (0.7–0.65) 0.3 (1.51–3.60) 0.9 (0.38–2.24) 0.4 (0.03–6.20) 0.8 (0.50–1.33)

Substance use, mood and anxiety disorders BF Grant $et \; al$

Abbreviations: \Box_1 , community interval at P < 0.01. Note: Figures in boldface are significant at P < 0.01.

assessed risk factors for psychiatric disorders are disorder specific to help define the boundaries of DSM-IV disorders.

Little has been reported about incidence rates among race-ethnic minorities. In contrast to the one prior study,⁷² this study did not find elevated rates among Hispanic people. However, the risk of incident GAD was lower among Hispanic people and the risk of alcohol abuse was lower among black people. Future research is needed to explain the lower risk of these disorders among minorities.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine psychopathologic predictors of a broad range of incident DSM-IV disorders in a national sample and determine whether disorder-specific associations reflect common or unique factors. Some associations between psychopathologic predictors of incident disorders remained statistically significant, although reduced in magnitude, once baseline comorbidity was controlled. The drop in magnitude suggests common causal factors underlying the disorder-specific associations. However, the remaining significance of these associations suggests unique factors driving some disorder-specific associations.

Consistent with the ECA data,⁷² baseline dysthymia predicted incident MDD. Also not surprisingly, baseline MDD predicted incident bipolar II disorder, suggesting that MDD occurs prior to hypomania in the development of bipolar II disorder. Consistent with prospective studies,^{28,29} alcohol abuse and dependence showed strong reciprocal temporal relationships, but drug abuse only predicted incident drug dependence. The reciprocal relationship between alcohol abuse and dependence suggests that strong common factors may underlie the comorbid relationship and additionally provides support for elimination of the hierarchy between alcohol abuse and dependence in future DSM revisions. Further research is needed, however, on specific drug use disorders to support elimination of the abusedependence hierarchy in the DSM-IV in view of the unidirectional relationship between drug abuse and dependence observed in this study.

In general, baseline anxiety disorders more often predicted other incident anxiety disorders than mood disorders. Panic disorder predicted incident social and specific phobias and GAD, GAD predicted incident panic disorder, social phobia predicted incident GAD, and PTSD predicted incident panic disorder and specific phobia. Baseline social phobia predicted incident GAD. In only three instances did a mood disorder predict an incident anxiety disorder: bipolar I predicted both incident panic disorder and GAD, and MDD predicted incident GAD. These results are broadly consistent with most,73-79 but not all,^{80,81} longitudinal studies showing that onsets of anxiety disorders are more often followed than preceded by the onset of depressive disorders. The observed temporal relationships, especially among anxiety disorders, may also reflect overlap of core DSM-IV symptoms among these disorders.

Among the most interesting findings of this study were the reciprocal temporal relationships between MDD and GAD, and between GAD and panic disorder. These findings suggest the existence of strong common causes underlying those disorders, stronger than those common factors characterizing comorbidity among other disorders assessed in this study except for alcohol abuse and dependence. The observed reciprocal relationship between MDD and GAD is consistent with results of twin studies showing these disorders to share joint genetic susceptibility.^{82–86} Findings on the relationship between GAD and panic disorder show GAD to be etiologically distinct from panic disorder,⁸⁶ but more recent studies support a shared diathesis between GAD and panic disorder⁸⁷ or additive genetic influences common to GAD and panic disorder in the presence of a nonadditive genetic contribution specific to panic disorder.⁸⁸ The present results suggest that genetic research be expanded to encompass MDD, GAD and panic disorder, along with other mood and anxiety disorders, for the purpose of unraveling common and unique genetic and environmental influences underlying comorbidity.

By definition, PDs constitute enduring patterns of inner experiences and behaviors that are pervasive, inflexible and stable over time, with onsets in adolescence or early adulthood,²⁸ and highly comorbid with mood and anxiety disorders.^{17,18,27,50–54} Therefore, it was not surprising that PDs predicted these incident disorders. Borderline and schizotypal PDs predicted incident MDD, bipolar I, panic disorder, GAD and social phobia. Borderline PD also predicted incident specific phobia, and narcissistic PD predicted incident bipolar I and GAD. That PDs predicted many mood and anxiety disorders tempts speculation that genetic risk shared among anxiety and mood disorders^{85,88} might be mediated by PDs, especially borderline and schizotypal PDs or traits.

Longitudinal and twin studies^{89–96} have consistently found antisocial behavior or conduct disorder in childhood or late adolescence to predict alcohol dependence in early adulthood. By contrast, antisocial PD did not predict incident alcohol or drug use disorders in this study. This discrepancy suggests that the relationship between childhood antisocial behavior and later substance use disorders may not be consistent across developmental stages.⁹⁷ Adolescence and early adulthood are periods associated with the highest prevalence of substance use and the relationship measured at these stages of life may be different from what would be observed in later adulthood. Thus, this linkage between antisocial behaviors and substance use disorders may be evident among younger individuals not captured in the NESARC sample. However, antisocial behavior in prior studies may be predicting early-onset substance use disorders, leaving open the possibility that other personality psychopathology, such as borderline, narcissistic or schizotypal PD or traits, could influence the development of later-onset substance

use disorders as observed in this study. Future longitudinal research should be extended to adolescence and later adulthood and incorporate measures of a broad spectrum of personality psychopathology, with particular focus on sex and age differences in the manifestations of externalizing and internalizing psychopathology predictive of substance use disorders.

Another significant finding is that substance use disorders did not predict any incident mood or anxiety disorder. By contrast, baseline bipolar I predicted incident drug abuse, and baseline panic disorder predicted incident drug dependence. These results are consistent with recent evidence from a twin study showing that the risk of alcohol dependence was substantially increased by a prior episode of MDD, but a previous episode of alcohol dependence did not increase the risk of MDD.⁹⁸ Although these results may be consistent with the self-medication hypothesis, other mechanisms such as shared underlying liability arising from the same genetic or environmental risk factors cannot be excluded.

Limitations of this study are noted. Although this study represents the largest follow-up survey of psychiatric disorders conducted to date, future prospective studies with longer follow-up periods and those incorporating clinical interviews and collateral reports are also indicated. As attrition between the Wave 1 and Wave 2 NESARC was small (13.3%) and the Wave 2 data were adjusted for nonresponse due to sociodemographic characteristics and presence of any substance use or other psychiatric disorder at Wave 1, attrition is not likely to have a substantial effect on the incidence rates and risk associations examined in this study. Although the NESARC survey design included group quarters, some special populations, such as those under 18 or respondents in jail or hospitalized at the time of the interview, were not included in the sample. Finally, this study assessed DSM-IV disorders categorically, in conformity with clinical tradition. It is acknowledged that a dimensional approach to the measurement of DSM-IV disorders may have great merit for understanding the pathophysiology of each disorder and the comorbidity it shares with others.

In summary, this study has increased our knowledge of sociodemographic and psychopathologic risk factors for major DSM-IV substance use, mood and anxiety disorders. The greater incidence of all these disorders in the youngest cohort underscores the need for heightened vigilance in identifying and treating such disorders among young adults. This study also provides a framework for future analyses focusing prospectively on other risk factors for the incidence, remission and recurrence of specific disorders. Taken together, the findings of this study call for more research in the rapidly growing field of psychiatric genetics that has begun to expand phenotypic definitions beyond the study of a single disorder or trait to a range of phenotypes that show a high degree of comorbidity. Work in this area is beginning to identify latent genetic risk factors that indicate shared

genetic susceptibility across a range of diagnostic phenotypes.^{99–104}

Information on sociodemographic and psychopathologic risk factors prospectively identified in this study may also begin to inform a new class of preventive interventions aimed at preventing comorbidity (that is, the prevention of the first onset of a second or set of disorders). With regard to clinical implications, clearer data about the risks of future disorders posed by chronologically primary disorders can increase efficiency of treatment planning and provide important information to patients at risk of developing secondary disorders. Primary prevention of secondary disorders would be feasible even when the comorbid conditions share common causes. The onset of the secondary disorder is not inevitable because common causes often have modifiable mediators.

Acknowledgments

The National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) is funded by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) with supplemental support from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). This research was supported in part by the Intramural Program of the National Institutes of Health, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Dr Bridget Grant had full access to all of the data in this study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Disclaimer: The views and opinions expressed in this report are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent the views of sponsoring organizations, agencies, or the US government.

References

- 1 Mattisson C, Bogren M, Nettelbladt P, Munk-Jörgensen P, Bhugra D. First incidence depression in the Lundby study: a comparison of two time periods 1947–1972 and 1972–1997. *J Affect Disord* 2005; **87**: 151–160.
- 2 Rorsman B, Gräsbeck A, Hagnell O, Lanke J, Öhman R, Öjesjö L et al. A prospective study of first-incidence depression: the Lundby study, 1957–1972. Br J Psychiatry 1990; 156: 336–342.
- 3 Murphy JM, Laird NM, Monson RR, Sobol AM, Leighton AH. Incidence of depression in the Stirling County study: historical and comparative perspectives. *Psychol Med* 2000; **30**: 505–514.
- 4 Murphy JM, Monson RR, Laird NM, Leighton AH. Studying the incidence of depression: an 'interval' effect. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* 2000; **9**: 184–193.
- 5 Eaton WW, Anthony JC, Gallo J, Cai G, Tien A, Romanoski A *et al.* Natural history of Diagnostic Interview Schedule/DSM-IV major depression: the Baltimore Epidemiologic Catchment Area followup. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1997; **54**: 993–999.
- 6 Robins LN, Regier DA. *Psychiatric Disorders in America: The Epidemiologic Catchment Area Study.* The Free Press: New York, NY, 1991.
- 7 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 3rd edn. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC, 1980.

- Substance use, mood and anxiety disorders BF Grant et al
- 8 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 3rd edn, Revised. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC, 1987.
- 9 Ries LAG, Harkins D, Krapcho M, Mariotto A, Miller BA, Fever EJ. SEER Statistics Review, 1975–2003. National Cancer Institute: Bethesda, MD, 2006.
- 10 National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Incidence and Prevalence: 2006 Chart Book on Cardiovascular and Lung Diseases. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute: Bethesda, MD, 2006.
- 11 Eaton WW, Kramer M, Anthony JC, Dryman A, Shapiro S, Locke BZ. The incidence of specific DIS/DSM-III mental disorders: data from the NIMH Epidemiologic Catchment Area program. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1989; **79**: 163–178.
- 12 Newman SC, Bland RC. Incidence of mental disorders in Edmonton: estimates of rates and methodological issues. *J Psychiatr Res* 1998; **32**: 273–282.
- 13 Bijl RV, De Graaf R, Ravelli A, Smit F, Vollebergh WAM. Gender and age-specific first incidence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the general population: results from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study (NEMESIS). Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2002; **37**: 372–379.
- 14 De Graaf R, Bijl RV, Smit F, Vollebergh WAM. Predictors of first incidence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the general population: findings from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2002; **106**: 303–313.
- 15 Lehtinen V, Sohlman B, Nummelin T, Salomaa M, Ayuso-Mateos J-L, Dowrick C. The estimated incidence of depressive disorder and its determinants in the Finnish ODIN sample. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2005; 40: 778–784.
- 16 World Health Organization. International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision. World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2004.
- 17 Hasin DS, Goodwin RD, Stinson FS, Grant BF. The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005; **62**: 1097–1106.
- 18 Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Zhao S, Nelson C, Hughes M, Eshleman S et al. Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-III-R psychiatric disorders in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1994; 51: 8–19.
- 19 Eaton W, Anthony J, Romanoski A, Tien A, Gallo J, Cai G et al. Onset and recovery from panic disorder in the Baltimore Epidemiologic Catchment Area Follow-Up. Br J Psychiatry 1998; **173**: 501–507.
- 20 Keyl P, Eaton WW. Risk factors for the onset of panic attacks and panic disorder. *Am J Epidemiol* 1990; **131**: 301–311.
- 21 Wells JC, Tien AY, Garrison R, Eaton WW. Risk factors for the incidence of social phobia as determined by the Diagnostic Interview Schedule in a population-based study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1994; **90**: 84–90.
- 22 Neufeld KJ, Swartz KL, Bienvenu OJ, Eaton WW, Cai G. Incidence of DIS/DSM-IV social phobia in adults. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1999; **100**: 186–192.
- 23 Crum RM, Chan Y-F, Chen L-S, Storr CL, Anthony JC. Incidence rates for alcohol dependence among adults: prospective data from the Baltimore Epidemiologic Catchment Area Follow-Up Survey, 1981–1996. J Stud Alcohol 2005; 66: 795–804.
- 24 Chen WJ, Cheng ATA. Incidence of first onset alcoholism among Taiwanese aborigines. *Psychol Med* 1997; **27**: 1363–1371.
- 25 Grant BF, Kaplan KK, Stinson FS. Source and Accuracy Statement: The Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; http://www.niaaa.nih.gov. Accessed 2 July, 2007.
- 26 Grant BF, Moore TC, Shepard J, Kaplan K. Source and Accuracy Statement: Wave 1 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; http://www.niaaa.nih.gov. Accessed 2 July, 2007.
- 27 Grant BF, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Chou SP, Dufour MC, Compton W *et al.* Prevalence and co-occurrence of substance use disorders and independent mood and anxiety disorders:

results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004; **61**: 807–816.

- 28 American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*, 4th edn. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC, 1994.
- 29 Grant BF, Dawson DA, Hasin DS. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule—DSM-IV Version. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; http:// www.niaaa.nih.gov. Accessed 30 July, 2007.
- 30 Grant BF, Dawson DA, Hasin DS. The Wave 2 National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule—DSM-IV Version. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism; http://www.niaaa.nih.gov. Accessed 30 July 2007.
- 31 Hasin DS, Grant BF, Endicott J. The natural history of alcohol abuse: implications for definitions of alcohol use disorders. Am J Psychiatry 1990; 147: 1537–1541.
- 32 Schuckit MA, Smith TL, Danko GP, Bucholz KK, Reich T, Bierut L. Five-year clinical course associated with DSM-IV alcohol abuse or dependence in a large group of men and women. Am J Psychiatry 2001; 158: 1084–1090.
- 33 Canino GJ, Bravo M, Ramírez R, Febo V, Fernández R, Hasin DS. The Spanish Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule (AUDADIS): reliability and concordance with clinical diagnoses in a Hispanic population. J Stud Alcohol 1999; 60: 790–799.
- 34 Chatterji S, Saunders JB, Vrasti R, Grant BF, Hasin D, Mager D. Reliability of the alcohol and drug modules of the Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule— Alcohol/Drug-Revised (AUDADIS-ADR): an international comparison. Drug Alcohol Depend 1997; 47: 171–185.
- 35 Grant BF, Dawson DA, Stinson FS, Chou PS, Kay W, Pickering R. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-IV (AUDADIS-IV): reliability of alcohol consumption, tobacco use, family history of depression and psychiatric diagnostic modules in a general population sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2003; **71**: 7–16.
- 36 Grant BF, Harford TC, Dawson DA, Chou PS, Pickering RP. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule (AUDADIS): reliability of alcohol and drug modules in a general population sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1995; **39**: 37–44.
- 37 Hasin D, Carpenter KM, McCloud S, Smith M, Grant BF. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule (AUDADIS): reliability of alcohol and drug modules in a clinical sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1997; **44**: 133–141.
- 38 Ruan WJ, Goldstein RB, Chou SP, Smith SM, Saha TD, Pickering RP et al. The Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule-IV (AUDADIS-IV): reliability of new psychiatric diagnostic modules and risk factors in a general population sample. Drug Alcohol Depend 2008; 92: 27–36.
- 39 Hasin D, Paykin A. Alcohol dependence and abuse diagnoses: concurrent validity in a nationally representative sample. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1999; 23: 144–150.
- 40 Hasin DS, Grant B, Endicott J. The natural history of alcohol abuse: implications for definitions of alcohol use disorders. Am J Psychiatry 1990; 147: 1537–1541.
- 41 Hasin DS, Muthén B, Wisnicki KS, Grant BF. Validity of the bi-axial dependence concept: a test in the US general population. Addiction 1994; 89: 573–579.
- 42 Hasin DS, Van Rossem R, Endicott J. Differentiating DSM-IV alcohol dependence and abuse by course: community heavy drinkers. J Subst Abuse 1997; **9**: 127–135.
- 43 Hasin DS, Schuckit MA, Martin CS, Grant BF, Bucholz KK, Helzer JE. The validity of DSM-IV alcohol dependence: what do we know and what do we need to know? *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2003; 27: 244–252.
- 44 Cottler LB, Grant BF, Blaine J, Mavreas V, Pull C, Hasin D *et al.* Concordance of DSM-IV alcohol and drug use disorder criteria and diagnoses as measured by AUDADIS-ADR, CIDI and SCAN. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1997; **47**: 195–205.
- 45 Hasin DS, Grant BF, Cottler L, Blaine J, Towle L, Üstün B et al. Nosological comparisons of alcohol and drug diagnoses:

a multisite, multi-instrument international study. Drug Alcohol Depend 1997; **47**: 217–226.

- 46 Nelson CB, Rehm J, Üstün B, Grant BF, Chatterji S. Factor structure of DSM-IV substance use disorder criteria endorsed by alcohol, cannabis, cocaine and opiate users: results from the World Health Organization Reliability and Validity Study. Addiction 1999; 94: 843–855.
- 47 Pull CB, Saunders JB, Mavreas V, Cottler LB, Grant BF, Hasin DS et al. Concordance between ICD-10 alcohol and drug use disorder criteria and diagnoses as measured by the AUDADIS-ADR, CIDI and SCAN: results of a cross-national study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1997; **47**: 207–216.
- 48 Üstün B, Compton W, Mager D, Babor T, Baiyewu O, Chatterji S et al. WHO study on the reliability and validity of the alcohol and drug use disorder instruments: overview of methods and results. Drug Alcohol Depend 1997; **47**: 161–170.
- 49 Vrasti R, Grant BF, Chatterji S, Üstün BT, Mager D, Olteanu I et al. Reliability of the Romanian version of the alcohol module of the WHO Alcohol Use Disorder and Associated Disabilities Interview Schedule—Alcohol/Drug-Revised. Eur Addict Res 1998; 4: 144–149.
- 50 Grant BF, Hasin DS, Blanco C, Stinson FS, Chou SP, Goldstein RB et al. The epidemiology of social anxiety disorder in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. J Clin Psychiatry 2005; 66: 1351–1361.
- 51 Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Goldstein RB, Smith SM *et al.* The epidemiology of DSM-IV panic disorder and agoraphobia in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2006; **67**: 363–374.
- 52 Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Ruan WJ, Goldstein RB et al. Prevalence, correlates, co-morbidity, and comparative disability of DSM-IV generalized anxiety disorder in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Psychol Med* 2005; **35**: 1747–1759.
- 53 Grant BF, Stinson FS, Hasin DS, Dawson DA, Chou SP, Ruan WJ et al. Prevalence, correlates, and comorbidity of bipolar I disorder and Axis I and II disorders: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. J Clin Psychiatry 2005; 66: 1205–1215.
- 54 Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Chou SP, Smith S, Goldstein RB, Ruan WJ *et al.* The epidemiology of specific phobia in the USA: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Psychol Med* 2007; **37**: 1–13.
- 55 Compton WM, Conway KP, Stinson FS, Colliver JD, Grant BF. Prevalence, correlates, and comorbidity of DSM-IV antisocial personality syndromes and alcohol and specific drug use disorders in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. J Clin Psychiatry 2005; 66: 677–685.
- 56 Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Chou SP, Ruan WJ et al. Co-occurrence of 12-month mood and anxiety disorders and personality disorders in the US: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. J Psychiatr Res 2005; 39: 1–9.
- 57 Grant BF, Hasin DS, Stinson FS, Dawson DA, Chou SP, Ruan WJ et al. Prevalence, correlates, and disability of personality disorders in the United States: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. J Clin Psychiatry 2004; 65: 948–958.
- 58 Zimmerman M. Diagnosing personality disorders: a review of issues and research methods. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1994; 51: 225-245.
- 59 Kleinbaum DG, Kupper LL, Morgenstern H. *Epidemiologic Research: Principles and Quantitative Methods*. Lifetime: Belmont, CA, 1982.
- 60 Miettinen O. *Theoretical Epidemiology*. Wiley: New York, NY, 1985.
- 61 Hennekens CH, Buring JE. *Epidemiology in Medicine*. Little, Brown and Company: Boston, MA, 1987.
- 62 Rothman KJ, Greenland S. *Modern Epidemiology*. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins: Philadelphia, PA, 1998.

- 63 Kelsey JL, Thompson WD, Evans AS, Whittemore AS. *Methods in Observational Epidemiology*, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press: New York, NY, 1996.
- 64 Zhang J, Yu KF. What's the relative risk? A method for correcting the odds ratio in cohort studies of common outcomes. *JAMA* 1998; **280**: 1690–1691.
- 65 Bijl RV, Ravelli A, van Zessen G. Prevalence of psychiatric disorder in the general population: results of the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Survey (NEMESIS). Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 1998; 33: 587–595.
- 66 Chen CN, Wong J, Lee N, Chan-Ho MW, Lau JT, Fung M. The Shatin community mental health survey in Hong Kong. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1993; 50: 125–133.
- 67 Faravelli C, Guerrini Degl'Innocenti BG, Aiazzi L, Incerpi G, Pallanti S. Epidemiology of mood disorders: a community survey in Florence. J Affect Disord 1990; **20**: 135–141.
- 68 Kringlen E, Torgersen S, Cramer B. A Norwegian psychiatric epidemiological study. Am J Psychiatry 2001; 158: 1091–1098.
- 69 Jacobi F, Wittchen HU, Holting C, Hofler M, Pfister H, Muller N et al. Prevalence, co-morbidity and correlates of mental disorders in the general population: results from the German Health Interview and Examination Survey (GHS). *Psychol Med* 2004; **34**: 597–611.
- 70 Stefansson JG, Lindal E, Björnsson JK, Guomundsdottir A. Lifetime prevalence of specific mental disorders among people born in Iceland in 1931. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1991; 84: 142–149.
- 71 Szadoczky E, Papp Z, Vitrai J, Rihmer Z, Furedi J. The prevalence of major depression and bipolar disorders in Hungary: results from a national epidemiologic survey. J Affect Disord 1998; 50: 153–162.
- 72 Horwath E, Johnson J, Klerman GL, Weissman MM. Depressive symptoms as relative and attributable risk factors for first-onset major depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1992; 49: 817–823.
- 73 Breslau N, Schultz L, Peterson E. Sex differences in depression: a role for pre-existing anxiety. *Psychiatry Res* 1995; **58**: 1–12.
- 74 Hagnell O, Gräsbeck A. Comorbidity of anxiety and depression in the Lundby 25-year prospective study on the pattern of subsequent episodes. In: Maser J, Cloninger CR (eds). *Comorbidity of Mood and Anxiety Disorders*. American Psychiatric Press: Washington, DC, 1990, pp 139–152.
- 75 Lewinsohn PM, Rohde P, Seeley JR, Klein DN, Gotlib IH. Natural course of adolescent major depressive disorder in a community sample: predictors of recurrence in young adults. *Am J Psychiatry* 2000; **157**: 1584–1591.
- 76 Parker G, Wilhelm K, Austin M-P, Roussos J, Gladstone G. The influence of anxiety as a risk to early onset major depression. *J Affect Disord* 1999; 52: 11–17.
- 77 Pine DS, Cohen P, Brook J. Adolescent fears as predictors of depression. *Biol Psychiatry* 2001; **50**: 721–724.
- 78 Wittchen H-U, Kessler RC, Pfister H, Lieb M. Why do people with anxiety disorders become depressed? A prospective-longitudinal community study. Acta Psychiatr Scand Suppl 2000; 406: 14–23.
- 79 Zahn-Waxler C, Klimes-Dougan B, Slattery MJ. Internalizing problems of childhood and adolescence: prospects, pitfalls, and progress in understanding the development of anxiety and depression. *Dev Psychopathol* 2000; **14**: 442–466.
- 80 Moffitt TE, Harrington H, Caspi A, Kim-Cohen J, Goldberg D, Gregory AM *et al.* Depression and generalized anxiety disorder: cumulative and sequential comorbidity in a birth cohort followed prospectively to age 32 years. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2007; 64: 651–660.
- 81 Pine DS, Cohen P, Brook J, Ma Y. The risk for early-adulthood anxiety and depressive disorders in adolescents with anxiety and depressive disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998; **55**: 56–64.
- 82 Kendler KS. Major depression and generalised anxiety disorder. Same genes, (partly) different environments—revisited. Br J Psychiatry Suppl 1996; 30: 68-75.
- 83 Kendler KS, Gardner CO, Gatz M, Pedersen NL. The sources of co-morbidity between major depression and generalized anxiety disorder in a Swedish national twin sample. *Psychol Med* 2007; 37: 453–462.
- 84 Kendler KS, Neale MC, Kessler RC, Heath AC, Eaves LJ. Major depression and generalized anxiety disorder. Same genes, (partly) different environments? Arch Gen Psychiatry 1992; 49: 716–722.

- Substance use, mood and anxiety disorders BF Grant et al
- 85 Kendler KS, Prescott CA, Myers J, Neale MC. The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for common psychiatric and substance use disorders in men and women. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2003; 60: 929–937.
- 86 Kendler KS, Walters EE, Neale MC, Kessler RC, Heath AC, Eaves LJ. The structure of the genetic and environmental risk factors for six major psychiatric disorders in women: phobia, generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, bulimia, major depression and alcoholism. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1995; 52: 374–383.
- 87 Hettema JM, Prescott CA, Myers JM, Neale MC, Kendler KS. The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for anxiety disorders in men and women. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005; 62: 182–189.
- 88 Scherrer JF, True WR, Xian H, Lyons MJ, Eisen SA, Goldberg J et al. Evidence for genetic influences common and specific to symptoms of generalized anxiety and panic. J Affect Disord 2000; 57: 25–35.
- 89 Bates ME, Labouvie EW. Adolescent risk factors and the prediction of persistent alcohol and drug use into adulthood. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 1997; **21**: 944–950.
- 90 Cantwell DP. Psychiatric illness in the families of hyperactive children. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1972; 27: 414–417.
- 91 Heath AC, Bucholz KK, Madden PA, Dinwiddie SH, Slutske WS, Bierut LJ *et al.* Genetic and environmental contributions to alcohol dependence risk in a national twin sample: consistency of findings in women and men. *Psychol Med* 1997; **27**: 1381–1396.
- 92 Jones MC. Personality correlates and antecedents of drinking patterns in adult males. J Consult Clin Psychol 1968; 32: 2–12.
- 93 McCord W, McCord J. A longitudinal study of the personality of alcoholics. In: Pittman DJ, Snyder CR (eds). Society, Culture, and Drinking Patterns. Wiley: New York, NY, 1962, pp 413–430.
- 94 McCord W, McCord J. Origins of Alcoholism. Stanford University Press: Stanford, CA, 1960.
- 95 Robins LN. *Deviant Children Grown Up.* Williams and Wilkins: Baltimore, MD, 1966.

- 96 Vaillant GE. *The Natural History of Alcoholism*. Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA, 1983.
- 97 Mulder RT. Alcoholism and personality. Aust N Z J Psychiatry 2002; 36: 44-52.
- 98 Kuo P-H, Gardner CO, Kendler KS, Prescott CA. The temporal relationship of the onsets of alcohol dependence and major depression: using a genetically informative study design. *Psychol Med* 2006; **36**: 1153–1162.
- 99 Hettema JM, An SS, Neale MC, Bukszar J, van den Oord EJCG, Kendler KS et al. Association between glutamic acid decarboxylase genes and anxiety disorders, major depression, and neuroticism. Mol Psychiatry 2006; 11: 752–762.
- 100 Hettema JM, An SS, van den Oord EJCG, Neale MC, Kendler KS, Chen X. Association study between the serotonin 1A receptor (HTR1A) gene and neuroticism, major depression, and anxiety disorders. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2007; epub ahead of print.
- 101 Kendler KS, Kuo P-H, Webb T, Kalsi G, Neale MC, Sullivan PF et al. A joint genomewide linkage analysis of symptoms of alcohol dependence and conduct disorder. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2006; **30**: 1972–1977.
- 102 Lawford BR, Young R, Noble EP, Kann B, Ritchie T. The D_2 dopamine receptor (DRD2) gene is associated with comorbid depression, anxiety and social dysfunction in untreated veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder. *Eur Psychiatry* 2006; **21**: 180–185.
- 103 Williams NM, Green EK, Macgregor S, Dwyer S, Norton N, Williams H et al. Variation at the DAOA/G30 locus influences susceptibility to major mood episodes but not psychosis in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2006; 63: 366–373.
- 104 Wray NR, James MR, Mah SP, Nelson M, Andrews G, Sullivan PF et al. Anxiety and comorbid measures associated with PLXNA2. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2007; 64: 318–326.

Copyright of Molecular Psychiatry is the property of Nature Publishing Group and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.